



Prof. Hugues Pirotte





## A prior

#### 50s: Markowitz

- » Identification of the risk-return relationship
- » Matching with the mean-variance criterion
	- $\checkmark$  Expectation  $\checkmark$  mean(historical returns)
	- $\checkmark$  Risk  $\checkmark$  degree of dispersion  $\checkmark$  f(average spreads around average)
- Moments of a distribution and their estimator *n*
	- » Mean  $=\mathrm{E}[X] \leftarrow \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *i Obs<sup>i</sup> n X* 1 1  $\mu = E[X] \leftarrow \hat{\mu}$
	- » Variance  $[(X-\mu)^2] \leftarrow \hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Obs_i - \mu)$ =  $\overline{a}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $=\mathrm{E} |(X-\mu)^2|$   $\leftarrow \hat{\sigma}$  = *n i Obs<sup>i</sup> n X* 1  $2 - E[(v, u)^2], \hat{=} - \frac{1}{2} \sum (a_{ks} u)^2$ 1 1  $\sigma^2 = E[(X - \mu)^2] \leftarrow \hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Obs_i - \mu)^2$
	- » Skewness

$$
s = \frac{E[(X - \mu)^3]}{\sigma^3}
$$

» Kurtosis (excess)

$$
k = \frac{\mathrm{E}\left[(X-\mu)^4\right]}{\sigma^4} - 3
$$



#### In trading activities

We have seen many sensitivities being used and/or "greeks"

- What are the limits of their application?
	- » We are not necessarily looking at one position at a time
	- » We are not necessarily looking at day-trading.



#### The VaR concept

- Introduced in the 90s
- "Downside" risk view in currency terms
- Maximum expected loss on a given time-horizon so that the probability of higher losses is lower than a pre-specified level
- Applicable to an entire portfolio (including zero-valued positions at  $t=0$ )  $R_c$   $\mu$  ou 0 *p*



## The normal distribution of...returns

Estimators depend on time intervals  $\Delta t$ 

**Solvay Brussels School** Economics & Management

- Continuous returns  $R_{\scriptscriptstyle t} = \ln (P_{\scriptscriptstyle t}$  /  $P_{\scriptscriptstyle t-}$
- Time « compounding » means (for continuous returns), for *T* periods of length  $\Delta t$  $\mu_T = \mu T$  and  $\sigma_T = \sigma \sqrt{T}$
- Any historical time series can be analyzed in terms of its distribuional moments
- Assumption: Laplace normal trend theorem holds (« central limit theorem »)
	- asset returns, interest rates & forex rates follow a normal distribution
- $\rightarrow$  the space of potential realizations is continuous and follows a symmetric distribution which normal flatness guarantees a rare occurence of extreme tinuous returns  $R_t = \ln(P_t / P_{t-1})$ <br>
e « compounding » means (for contingth  $\Delta t$ <br>  $\mu_T = \mu T$  and  $\sigma_T = c$ <br>
thistorical time series can be analyze<br>
ments<br>
umption: Laplace normal trend theor<br>
orem »)<br>
asset returns, interest r





## The normal distribution...uses

- Known theoretical distributions allow quick estimations of confidence intervals
	- » The normal distributionis is only characterized by  $\mu_{\tau}$  and  $\sigma_{\tau}$ .
	- » For a scaled and centered normal variable *Z* (zero mean, unit variance), limit values  $z_c$  are given by

$$
\Pr[-z_c \le Z \le z_c] = c \text{ if } Z \sim N(0,1)
$$

leaving the same probability surface on each side of the pdf for a given confidence degree *c*.







### A setting...

A random normal can be defined as a function of *Z*

 $X = \mu_T + Z \sigma_T$   $X \sim N(\mu_T, \sigma_T)$ 

- **And its confidence interval is.**  $\Pr[\mu_T - z_c \sigma_T \leq X \leq \mu_T + z_c \sigma_T] = c$  $\Pr \left[-z_c \leq \frac{A - \mu_T}{\sigma} \leq z_c\right] = c,$ *X*  $z_c \leq \frac{A - \mu_T}{\tau} \leq z_c$ *T T*  $c \leq \frac{A - \mu_T}{\sigma} \leq z_c$  =  $\rfloor$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\lfloor$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\leq$  $\overline{a}$  $\Rightarrow$  Pr|  $-z_c \le$  $\sigma$  $\mu_{\rm}$
- Shortcomings/refinements
	- » Evidence: existence of jumps/discreteness problems
	- » Statistically: existence of leptokurtic empirical distributions



## Concept (cont'd)

#### A portfolio

- » Starting value: *W<sup>0</sup>*
- **»** Expected value at  $t = T$  is  $W_T = W_0 (1 + R_T)$ .
- » *W<sup>c</sup>* = lowest value with a confidence degree *c*
- » Therefore

$$
Pr[W_T > W_c] = c \qquad Pr[W_T \le W_c] = p = 1 - c
$$

- **»** Relative VaR: loss respective to  $W_T$  $VaR_{rel} = E[W_T] - W_c = W_0(1 + \mu_T) - W_0(1 + R_c) = -W_0(R_c - \mu_T)$
- **»** Absolute VaR: gross loss respective to  $W<sub>0</sub>$  $VaR_{abs} = W_0 - W_c = W_0 - W_0(1 + R_c) = -W_0R_c$
- Remember: assumption of normality is on « returns »



### Advantages (à priori)

- Simple
- Better than...
	- $\lambda$  Just the exposure: VaR can be  $>$  or  $<$  than the exposure
	- » Duration, beta, option delta: VaR is sensitive to the event probability on the underlying variable
- Solution for some derivatives (for forwards and swaps….)
- Total portfolio risk
- Could be easily completed by sensitivity analysis



#### Examples

#### Bond pricing

- » Almost sure not to loose all the value in one-week time.
- » Worst expected increase of 4y interest rate in 6 months from now, with a 95% confidence degree: 2.5%
- » 6-month VaR of a 2000€ investment in a 4y 0-coupon is

 $=2000\varepsilon \times 4 \times 2.5\%$  $VaR = \text{Amount} \times \text{Duration} \times \Delta r_{95\%}$  $=200 \epsilon$ 

- Sale of options
	- » We get a premium
	- **»** Exposed to  $Max(S_T K, 0)$
- » Maximum loss can be substantially higher than its premium  $- K,0$ )<br>
substantially higher than its premium<br>
nting of cash-ins & cash-outs<br>
Nick Leeson
	- $\rightarrow$  Financial risk  $\neq$  accounting of cash-ins & cash-outs
		- Ex: Baring's case & Nick Leeson



#### Methodologies

- **Steps in examining risks** 
	- 1. Determine market value of selected position
	- 2. Measure sensitivity to risk sources and correlations between them
	- 3. Identify the time-horizon of the investment
	- 4. Define the confidence degree
	- 5. Compute the maximum expected loss

#### Methods

- » var-covar
- » Historical simulations
- » MonteCarlo simulations



#### Comparison of models



Inspired from Jorion, *Financial Risk Manager Handbook*



#### Var-covar

Making some replacements () and conscious that

$$
1 - c = p = \Pr[W_T \le W_c] = \Pr[R_T \le R_c]
$$

- If *R* is normally distributed, then  $Pr[R_T \leq R_c] = Pr[R_T \leq \mu_T - z_c \sigma_T]$
- We therefore get  $VaR_{rel} = -W_0(R_c - \mu_T) = W_0 z_c \sigma \sqrt{T}$  $VaR_{abs} = W_0(z_c \sigma \sqrt{T - \mu T})$
- The generalisation to *n* assets i and *m* risk sources j
	- » Assume: we can compute the exposure of any asset to any source of risk

$$
\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{w}} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1} & w_{1,2} & \cdots & w_{1,m} \\ w_{2,1} & w_{2,2} & \cdots & w_{2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{n,1} & \cdots & w_{n,m} \end{bmatrix}
$$





- **F** Each column total gives a vector  $W_{1\times m}^{Tot}$  of size m
- Compute

**Solvay Brussels School** Economics & Management

- » variances of each risk source
- $\rightarrow$  + covariances of all pairs, using historical data
- Expected value of portfolio

$$
E[R_p] = W_{1 \times m}^{Tot} \mu_{m \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1^{Tot} & w_2^{Tot} & \cdots & w_m^{Tot} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_2 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_m \end{bmatrix}
$$

 Variance of portfolio  $\left| R_{n} \right| = W_{1 \times m}^{Tot} \Sigma_{m \times m} W_{m \times 1}^{Tot} = \left| w_{1}^{Tot} \quad w_{2}^{Tot} \quad \cdots \quad w_{m}^{Tot} \right|$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\rfloor$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\mathbf{r}$ L  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\rfloor$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\mathbf{r}$ L  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $=W^{Tot}_{1 \times m} \Sigma_{~m \times m} W^{Tot}_{m \times 1} =$ *Tot m Tot Tot*  $\sigma_{m,j}$   $\sigma_{m,m}$ *j m m Tot m*  $Tot = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ *m m m Tot*  $_p$  **j**  $\mathbf{v}_{1 \times m}$ *w w w*  $Var[R_{n}] = W_{1 \times m}^{Tot} \Sigma_{m \times m} W_{m \times 1}^{Tot} = |w_{1}^{Tot} \quad w_{2}^{Tot} \quad \cdots \quad w_{m \times 1}$  $\vdots$  $\cdots$  $\ddot{\ddot{\textbf{z}}}$  $\vdots$  $\cdots$  $\cdots \quad w_m^{Tot} \parallel \begin{array}{ccc} \circ_{2,1} & \circ_{2,2} & \circ \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array} \parallel \begin{array}{c} w_2 \\ w_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array}$ 1 , 2  $,1$   $\qquad \qquad \mathbf{U}_{m,1}$ , 2,2 2 2,1 1,1  $\sigma_{1,2}$   $\cdots$   $\sigma_{1,1}$ 2  $1 \times m$   $\rightarrow$   $m \times m$ <sup>V</sup>  $m \times 1$   $\rightarrow$   $\lfloor$ <sup>V</sup>  $\rfloor$   $\qquad$   $\qquad$   $\vee$   $\lceil$  $\sigma_{m1}$  ...  $\sigma_{m2}$   $\sigma$  $\sigma$  $\sigma_{\gamma}$   $\sigma$  $\sigma$  11  $\sigma$   $\sigma$   $\sigma$ 

$$
\blacksquare \quad \textsf{VaR} \qquad \textit{VaR}_{\textit{rel}}^{\textit{pf}} = z_c \sqrt{T} \sqrt{W_{1 \times m}^{\textit{Tot}} \Sigma_{m \times m} W_{m \times 1}^{\textit{Tot}}}
$$

 $\left| \begin{array}{c} \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \end{array} \right|$ 



### Var-covar (cont'd) - sensitivities

- Not all assets i present a 1 to 1 sensitivity to the risk source j
- Weights are then « scaled »
- Examples (cases):
	- » Shares considered in terms of their systematic risk to an index (and not individually)  $W_{i,i} = \text{Amount} \times \beta_{i,i}$
	- » Options on an underlying present as a risk source  $w_{i,j}$  = Montant× *Delta*<sub>i, j</sub>
	- » Options (position i1) on an underlying (position i2) that is related to a risk source j. dividually)  $w_{i,j} = \text{Amount} \times \beta_{i,j}$ <br>ptions on an underlying present as a risk sourc<br> $w_{i,j} = \text{Montant} \times \text{Delta}_{i,j}$ <br>ptions (position i1) on an underlying (position i<br>burce j.<br> $w_{i,j} = \text{Montant} \times \text{Delta}_{i_1,i_2} \times \beta_{i_2,j}$ <br>ands<br> $\checkmark$  Durat

$$
w_{i_1,j} = \text{Montant} \times \text{Delta}_{i_1,i_2} \times \beta_{i_2,j}
$$

- » Bonds
	- $\checkmark$  Duration
	- $\checkmark$  Decomposition into 0-coupon components
	-



- A first exercise Data:
	- » You have the following portfolio of assets (we won't explain here how you did get there)



- » The exchange rate USD/EUR (dollars per euro) is currently trading at 1.25
- » Your reference currency is the Euro



- A first exercise Q&As :
	- » Risk sources?
		- $\checkmark$  an exposure to the EuroStoxx50.
		- $\checkmark$  an exposure to the Dow Jones.
		- $\checkmark$  a currency risk exposure to USD/EUR.
		- $\checkmark$  a risk exposure to interest-rate fluctuations  $\hat{\to}$   $\Delta$  bond prices.
	- » Exposures?
		- $\checkmark$  Split positions into exposures
		- $\checkmark$  Allocate them to the 4 risk sources
			- $=$  « mapping »
		- $\checkmark$  Values (in  $\xi$ ):





- » Exposures? (cont'd)
	- « Mappings »:



» Variances-covariances

 $\checkmark$  Data:





» Variances-covariances (cont'd):

**V** Knowing that  $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_i \times \sigma_j \times \rho_{i,j}$ 



#### » VaR?

 $\checkmark$  Multiplication of mapping-vector (with each column of) var-covar matrix (first):



#### – Example





#### » VaR?

 $\checkmark$  Second multiplication:



$$
\checkmark \quad \text{Total variance is annual} \to \text{ weekly variance: } \sqrt{\frac{51369530,4}{52}} = 993,92
$$

- $\checkmark$  Critical value of zc for 95% confidence degree is 1,644853
- $\checkmark$  VaR is therefore:  $993,92 \times 1,644853 = 1634,85$



» Contribution to VaR?

 $\checkmark$  First derivative w.r.t. « mapping-vector » or weights (Deltas):

$$
\frac{\delta VaR}{\delta W} = z_c \sqrt{T} \frac{\Sigma W}{\sqrt{W} \Sigma W} = z_c^2 T \frac{\Sigma W}{VaR}
$$

 $\checkmark$  Interesting property:

$$
VaR = W\left(z_c\sqrt{T}\frac{\sum W}{\sqrt{W^{'}}\sum W}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \left(z_c\sqrt{T}\frac{\sum W}{\sqrt{W^{'}}\sum W}\right)_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m} VaR_j
$$

 $\checkmark$  In our case, deltas...





» Contribution to VaR?

 $\checkmark$  In our case, component VaRs...





#### Var-covar (cont'd) - Indextron Extensions

#### $\blacksquare$  How can we...

» ...compute the amount of diversification in this portfolio?

» ...use stocks instead of indices or index funds that are not perfectly replicating the index?



#### Var-covar - the cheatsheet

- 1. Compute the **current market value** of the portfolio, position by position and **identify** for each position, **the risk exposures** against your original situation and **given your reference currency**.
- 2. Create a «mapping» matrix: rows=positions, columns=risk exposures
	- a) For 1:1 exposures: put the amount in your reference currency
	- b) For indirect exposures: pout the amount multiplied by either
		- $\checkmark$   $\beta$   $\rightarrow$  stock rel. changes vs. index rel. changes
		- $\vee$  D  $\rightarrow$  interest-rate changes vs. 0-coupon bond price rel. changes
		- $\sqrt{\Delta}$   $\rightarrow$  option rel. changes vs. underlying rel. changes
	- c) Specific case: Coupon-bearing bonds
		- $\checkmark$  Map to the closest «duration» vertex.
		- $\checkmark$  split the bond among several « risk vertices» based on PV(cash flows).
		- $\checkmark$  split the bond among several « risk vertices» based on the conservation of the total variance (RiskMetrics approach).
- 3. Compute statistics: volatilities and correlations
	- a) Standard
	- b) Or using EWMA, Arch or Garch stats

4. Compute 
$$
VaR[P_f, T, c] = z_c \sqrt{T} \sqrt{W_{1 \times m}^{Tot} \Sigma_{m \times m} W_{m \times 1}^{Tot}}
$$

- 5. Nice to have:
	- a) component and incremental VaRs,
	- b) amount of diversification.





#### Summary (1)

**Ex-ante** 





## Summary (2)

- Ex-post
	- » Stress-testing
		- $\checkmark$  Scenario analysis
		- $\checkmark$  Testing models & statistical inputs
		- Developing policy responses
	- » Scenarios...
		- $\checkmark$  Moving one variable at a time
			- 0-correlation
			- With correlation
		- $\checkmark$  Historical scenarios
		- $\checkmark$  Tailoring prospective scenarios
	- » Goal: identify areas of potential vulnerability

# Extensions



### Extensions: The Quadratic Model

- Using back the idea of delta and gamma, a Taylor Series Expansion would give: 1
	- » For the changes in a portfolio value *P:*

$$
\Delta P = \delta \Delta S + \frac{1}{2} \gamma (\Delta S)^2
$$

$$
\Delta P = S \delta \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} S^2 \gamma (\Delta x)^2
$$

or 
$$
\Delta P = S \delta \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} S^2 \gamma (\Delta x)^2
$$

$$
\mathbf{b} \quad \text{Which means} \quad \begin{cases} E(\Delta P) = 0.5 \, S^2 \gamma \, \sigma^2 \\ E(\Delta P^2) = S^2 \delta^2 \sigma^2 + 0.75 \, S^4 \gamma^2 \, \sigma^4 \\ E(\Delta P^3) = 4.5 \, S^4 \delta^2 \gamma \, \sigma^4 + 1.875 \, S^6 \gamma^3 \, \sigma^6 \end{cases}
$$

 For *n* underlying market variables and each instrument dependent on only one of them  $\Delta P = \sum S_i \delta_i \Delta x_i + \sum \frac{1}{2} S_i^2 \gamma_i (\Delta x_i)$  $=1$   $i=$  $\Delta P = \sum S_i \delta_i \Delta x_i + \sum_i \frac{1}{2} S_i^2 \gamma_i (\Delta$ *n i*  $i \int i \, (\Delta \lambda_i)$ *n i*  $P = \sum S_i \delta_i \Delta x_i + \sum \frac{1}{2} S_i^2 \gamma_i (\Delta x)$ 1  $2_{\alpha}$   $(\Lambda_{\alpha})^2$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}$ 1  $\delta_i \Delta x_i + \sum_i S_i^2 \gamma$ 

or more generally 
$$
\Delta P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \delta_i \Delta x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} S_i S_j \gamma_{ij} \Delta x_i \Delta x_j
$$



#### Extension: Cornish-Fisher expansion

 Formula to approximate quantiles of a pdf based on its moments or more precisely on its "cumulants". Cumulants can be expressed in terms of its mean  $\mu = E(x)$  and its central moments

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{w} \quad \mathbf{w} \quad \math
$$

» And therefore

$$
\kappa_5 = \mu_5 - 10\mu_3\mu_2
$$
  
and therefore  

$$
x_q \approx z_q + \frac{1}{6} (z_q^2 - 1) \kappa_3 + \frac{1}{24} (z_q^3 - 3z_q) \kappa_4 - \frac{1}{36} (2z_q^3 - 5z_q) \kappa_3^2
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{120} (z_q^4 - 6z_q^2 + 3) \kappa_5 - \frac{1}{24} (z_q^4 - 5z_q^2 + 2) \kappa_3 \kappa_4 + \frac{1}{324} (12z_q^4 - 53z_q^2 + 17) \kappa_3^3
$$

» Applied to a normalized *x* first

$$
x = \frac{x^{real} - \mu}{\sigma} \rightarrow x_q^{real} = x_q \sigma + \mu
$$



#### References

- Prof. H. Pirotte
- Some excerpts from:
	- » Hull (2007), "Risk management and Financial Institutions"
	- » The RiskMetrics technical document
	- » Jorion (2008), "Financial Risk Manager Handbook"
- Others:
	- » Jorion (2000), « Risk Management Lessons from LTCM ».